

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/845,946	04/30/2001	Patrick L. Coleman	56548USA8A.002	3318	
75	590 01/16/2003				
Attention: Christopher D. Gram			EXAMINER		
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company			TARAZANO, DON	TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE	
P.O. Box 33427 St. Paul, MN 55133-3427		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2 2, 1			1773		
•			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	

/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		O'da				
· · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/845,946	COLEMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address //				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-4	<u>1-2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 23-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		aminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	red in this National Stage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						



Application/Control Number: 09/845,946

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-9 and 23-34 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The description of the topography in the claims is confusing; the description does not appear to describe anything remotely related to the structures shown in the figures (1B, 2B). The examiner assumes that these are the structures, which the applicants are attempting to describe. There is no way to read the applicants' description and draw any reasonable structure.
- 5. The structure appears to be a crinkled film, formed when the ionic coating is applied to the surface of a shrinkable film and the resulting structure is relaxed causing the surface of the film to crinkle. However, none of this information is gleamed from the applicants' description of the film in the claims.
- 6. Claims 8, 9, 26, 27, 30, 31, 33 and 34 cannot be a "composition": A composition lacks physical structure, and is merely a list of ingredients in a mixture.



Application/Control Number: 09/845,946

Art Unit: 1773

While no prior art has been applied at this time, this should not be taken as an indication 7. of allowable subject matter. Currently, the claims are so confusing that the examiner was not

able to perform a complete analysis of the prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 8.

disclosure. The examiner cites related patent documents (Coleman et al: US 20020160367A1,

US 20020142306A1, and US 20020160530A1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703)-308-2379. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on (703)-309-2367. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular

communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0661.

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773

dlt

January 13, 2003